Thank you for taking the time to participate in the grant review process. Our grant funding comes from the State of Utah and we take this process very seriously. We appreciate your thoughtful consideration of the applications—all of which represent great work taking place in the arts throughout Utah.

This panelist “packet” will provide you with the documents you need, as well as all pertinent information regarding the grants panel review process. If you have any questions, please contact us: uamgrants@utah.gov

Panel Process Overview
All grants are reviewed through a competitive, panel-reviewed process. Panels are comprised of artists, arts professionals, and community members who play an active role in the arts and culture of Utah. The applications are reviewed online and are pre-scored before the panel meeting. Applications should be reviewed and scored based on the grants’ guidelines. Based on the panel’s final scores, the UA&M staff will forward funding recommendations to the board of directors for approval.

Panel Meeting Overview
The panel meeting will take place virtually through Zoom.

- **Virtual panel meetings** will be hosted on Zoom. In order to participate, panelists will need access to internet, a webcam, and computer audio (headphones) or phone. Detailed instructions on how to access the virtual meeting and how to interact with the software will be shared with panelists during the panel training webinar.
- Panelists will be compensated for their service at the rate of a flat $100 honorarium for their time and efforts in this process.
- UA&M’s Grant staff attend the panel meetings to take notes, provide clarification for panelist questions, and to facilitate the meeting. A member of the UA&M board is present at the meeting and ensures the panel conforms to division, and grant policies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Panel Member Responsibilities</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Board Representative</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Able to read and discuss applications with panel. Board does not score any application.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board representative keeps time and ensures UA&amp;M grant policies and procedures are being followed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board representative listens and takes note of the discussion to report back to the full board during the funding recommendation meeting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Panelist Responsibilities**

- **Panel orientation**: All panelists must attend an online orientation which will review how to access the grants and an overview of the panel process. The orientation is approximately 30-45 minutes long. Panelists will receive a link for the training through Zoom. This will be emailed to all panelists.

- **Review applications**: Panelists are required to read, comment, and pre-score all applications (unless they have a conflict of interest with an applicant) before the in-person or virtual panel meeting. Panelists should expect to read roughly 20 applications. Please allocate anywhere from 20-40 hours of reading and review time for all applications.

- **Attend panel meeting**: All panelists are required to attend the virtual panel meeting through Zoom. The panel review meeting allows for open discussion of the merits of each application, as well as any questions panelists have regarding an application.
Panel Documents
This packet contains two documents that you are required to read before you review any applications:

(1) Conflict of Interest Memo
(2) Panel Process Memo. After you attend the online panel training you will receive your panel assignments and the guidelines for the grant you are reviewing.

Frequently Asked Questions

I received the panel assignment document but I cannot remember what to do with this. What does this mean?

Each panelist is assigned as a secondary and primary reviewer. Essentially, the primary reviewer will give a brief summary of the application and will start the discussion. The secondary reviewer will provide additional information not covered by the primary and should add their comments. After this initial description, the entire panel is invited to discuss the application. You are still required to read all applications (unless you have a conflict). The primary/secondary reviewer assignments are just for the panel meeting.

How should I start reviewing the grants?

Fellow reviewers from previous panels have observed that it is easier to start with applications that you are not assigned to as primary or secondary. That way, you can get the hang of reading the grants before you jump into your primary reading responsibility. You should also read through a few applications before you enter scores, as you will realize your scoring changes as you get into the “flow” of reading all the applications. All applications are online and we have heard that they work best on either Firefox or Chrome browsers.

Panelists should not solicit any additional information from applicants prior to the panel meeting. Panelists can, and should, bring their own knowledge and experience with a particular applicant to the panel meeting. We ask that panelists refrain from discussing the applications, the panel conversation, and funding recommendations, once the panel is over.

How long will it take to read all the applications?

We tell panelists that this process should take anywhere from 20-40 hours of time to read all applications, add online panel comments, and enter preliminary scores.
How long is the panel review discussion?

We typically block off about 3 hours for virtual panel meetings, however, the panel can last longer, so please plan accordingly if you have additional commitments on the panel date.

Do I need to bring anything to the panel review discussion?

Yes! Please bring your thoughtfulness and an open mind. We also suggest bringing any notes you have taken so you can discuss questions or comments about the applications.